

**CITY OF PLEASANTON
CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
June 15, 2021**

*This meeting was conducted in accordance with Governor Newsom's
Executive Order N-25-20 COVID-19 pandemic protocols.*

Mayor Brown called the teleconferenced regular meeting of the City Council from various remote locations to order at the hour of 7:05 p.m. Councilmember Balch led the Pledge of Allegiance and provided remarks.

ROLL CALL

Present: Councilmembers Arkin, Balch, Narum, Testa, Mayor Brown

Absent: None

AGENDA AMENDMENTS

Councilmember Arkin pulled Item 5.

Councilmember Balch requested a correction to the May 18, 2021 minutes.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Mayor Brown opened public comment and the public hearing.

Erica Jasso, owner of Sculptations, encouraged City Council to reconsider the regulations, stipulations, and exceptions that are currently being considered.

Mayor Brown closed public comment and the public hearing.

City Attorney

1. Adopted and waived second reading of **Ordinance No. 2216** amending the Municipal Code regarding: §6.40.070 correct reference to taxi business license tax; §14.04.130 water service disconnection per state law; §§17.08.150 and 17.08.180 update construction standards for flood protection; §17.16.020 replacement trees; Title 18 Zoning – update definitions, fix internal references, clarify body art facilities, reorder zoning unit maps and correct typographical errors

City Manager

2. Approved meeting minutes of May 4 and May 18, 2021, as amended

Community Development

3. Actions of the Zoning Administrator and Planning Commission

Engineering

4. **Public Hearing:** Adopted and waived full reading of Resolutions approving 2021/22 Annual Assessments for Lighting and Landscape Maintenance Districts: **Resolution No. 21-1222** for 1984-1 (Ponderosa-Del Prado), **Resolution No. 21-1223** for 1993-1 (Windsor), **Resolution No. 21-1224** for 1993-2 (Bonde Ranch), **Resolution No. 21-1225** for 1994-1 (Oak Tree Farm), and **Resolution**

No. 21-1226 for 1955-1 (Moller Ranch); Appropriated \$11,560 from the applicable City Funds (General Fund, Water Fund, and Sewer Fund) to the respective Landscape Maintenance Districts

Finance

5. **Moved to the regular agenda** – Adopt a resolution approving the two-year Operating Budget for FY 2021/22 and 2022/23
6. Adopted and waived full reading of **Resolution No. 21-1228** approving the four-year Capital Improvement Program for FY 2021/22 through 2024/25; Appropriated the revenues and approved the project expenditures and transfers for the projects recommend for funding in FY 2021/22 and FY 2024/25
7. Adopted and waived full reading of **Resolution No. 21-1229** approving the FY 2021/22 Investment Policy and Guidelines
8. Adopted and waived full reading of **Resolution No. 21-1230** approving the FY 2021/22 Appropriations Limit of \$735,763,066
9. Approved FY 2021/22 Recurring Purchase Order agreements

Human Resources

10. Approved agreement with Innovative Claim Solutions, Inc. to administer the worker's compensation program for three years with the option to renew for two successive one-year terms mutually agreed upon by both parties
11. Approved and authorized City Manager to execute the third amendment to agreement to increase the maximum amount by \$150,000 with Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP for legal services

Library and Recreation

12. Approved agreement with Graham Hitch Mortuary for cemetery mangement, sales and burial coordination
13. Approved the appointment of Nikita Jayaprakash as Teen Poet Laureate for the 2021/22 school year
14. Approved amendment to agreement with CivicPlus in the amount of \$323,619 for recreation management software

Operations Services

15. Approved and authorized City Manager to execute first amendment to agreement with New Imagine Landscape to extend the services for an additional two-year period through June 30, 2023, for a total not to exceed amount of \$217,800 for Street median mulching replenishment throughout the City
16. Approved and authorized City Manager to execute a purchase order with Calmoto Livermore in the amount of \$194,342.64 for six motorcycles for the Police Department

MOTION: It was m/s by Arkin/Balch to approve the Consent Calendar as amended and as noted. Motion passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Arkin, Balch, Narum, Testa, Mayor Brown
Noes: None
Abstain: None
Absent: None

MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

17. Teen Poet Laureate poetry reading

Councilmember Balch introduced Teen Poet Laureate Nikita Jayaprakash, a senior at Amador Valley High School. Ms. Jayaprakash recited the original work "Tell Me a Story".

18. Proclamation proclaiming June as Elder Abuse Awareness Month

Councilmember Balch presented the proclamation to Deputy District Attorney Cheryl Poncini, Alameda County District Attorney's Office and Charmaine Brent, Ombudsman Program Manager for Alameda County, who accepted the proclamation.

Ms. Poncini thanked City Council, staff, and the residents of Pleasanton on behalf of District Attorney Nancy O'Malley for recognizing June as Elder Abuse Awareness Month. Ms. Brent thanked City Council for bringing awareness to elder abuse.

19. Public Comment regarding items not listed on the agenda

Saheli Thakkar spoke regarding the Bay Area chapter of BAPS Charities COVID relief efforts and encouraged residents to visit their website.

Parth Patel spoke regarding the BAPS Charities Walkathon and encouraged participation in the BAPS Walkathon.

Olivia Sanwong, Zone 7 Water Agency, spoke regarding Pleasanton's Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) and believes there is an opportunity to discuss Pleasanton's RHNA allocation and water outside of the Housing Element discussion.

Mayor Brown closed public comment.

PUBLIC HEARINGS AND OTHER MATTERS

20. **Public Hearing:** Consider: (1) adoption of a resolution adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND); (2) introduction of an ordinance for a Planned Unit Development (PUD) Rezoning to rezone the subject parcel from C-R (p) (Regional Commercial - peripheral sites) District to PUD-C-O (Planned Unit Development – Commercial-Office) District and a PUD Development Plan to construct up to three new multi-story research and development, office and laboratory buildings of approximately 381,000 square feet, a parking structure, and related site improvements over multiple phases; and (3) introduction of an ordinance approving Development Agreement to vest the entitlements for the PUD Rezoning and Development Plan at 1701 Springdale Avenue for 10x Genomics

Senior Planner Eric Luchini reported the item includes applications for 10x Genomics at 1701 Springdale Avenue to rezone the property from Regional Commercial District to Planning and Development Commercial Office District, to establish a PUD Development Plan to construct up to three new multistory office, laboratory, and research and development buildings totaling approximately 381,00 square feet, parking structure and related site improvements over a multi-phase project, and to enter into a Development Agreement with the City to vest the entitlements for the project.

Senior Planner Luchini reported the proposed project would include the development of a new Master Plan Campus for 10X Genomics which would allow them to consolidate and expand existing operations over the next several years. Phase 1 includes the construction of an approximate 150,000 square foot two and three-story building, Building 1, at the northern portion of the site. The building height would be 53 feet tall and 65 feet tall respectively with a rooftop screen. 483 surface parking spaces have been identified and would reutilize a portion of the existing surface-level parking lot that currently exists. Phase 1 would also include the construction of a new plaza at the northeast portion with pedestrian circulation connecting the proposed campus to Stoneridge Mall, Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), and adjacent office and residential developments. The balance of the subject site would remain vacant under Phase 1. Subsequent phases of the project are expected to be completed by 2030 and would include construction of up to an additional 231,000 square feet of building area with between one or two additional buildings generally along the east side of the property.

The project would continue to utilize all existing driveways with most of the vehicular access to and from the parking area focused off Springdale Avenue. The overall parking ratio of approximately 3.3 spaces per 1000 square feet is proposed which would require construction of an approximately 1200 square foot parking structure and approximately 80 surface-level parking spaces on the west side of the site. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities would be provided by the project proposed as a walkway and bicycle lane along the eastern edge of the property, a shared pedestrian and bicycle path along the north side of the property, and sidewalks along both the west and south sides.

The Landscape Plan includes an implanting plan that integrates new perimeter and interior landscaping. In both phases tree removal would be mitigated with significant on-site replanting. The new landscaping provides a wide range of native, drought-tolerant materials consistent with the City's Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance.

Mr. Luchini provided a brief overview of project architecture and noted only Building 1 has its architecture developed in detail with Buildings 2 and 3 being more conceptual at this time. Future buildings would conform to the building area established by the PUD Development Plan.

Mr. Luchini provided a brief overview of parking for the project and advises the applicant is proposing to repurpose and utilize 483 existing surface-level parking spaces on the site. Staff is recommending a Condition of Approval to ensure parking capacity remains adequate.

The applicant has proposed a 10-year term for the Development Agreement and in exchange, the applicant would contribute \$1 million in funding for the reconstruction of Fire Station 2 and would return sales tax to the City for construction materials above other sales tax revenues. The applicant is also committed to obtaining LEED Gold-equivalent certification for the project. Staff believes the project as designed and the associated amenities would conform to the General Plan and recommends City Council make this finding and approve the Development Agreement.

Staff recommends the City Council adopt a resolution adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND), introduce an ordinance making the PUD findings, approve Cases PUD-139 and P20-0973 subject to the draft conditions of approval, and introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement.

Director of Community Development Clark explained none of the Phase 2 buildings or parking garage have been designed and will be reviewed by the Planning Commission. The applicant's net Affordable Housing Fee would be \$575,000 as there was a fee credit applied with the demolition of the existing retail center. The fee is based on square footage and the fees in place at the time the building permit is pulled but anticipates \$1.8 million in affordable housing fees in Phase 2. Lastly, she explained the project does not impact the City's Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) this cycle because the project was not in place or entitled at that time. She believes the project may have an incremental effect on the City's jobs/housing balance but noted that is aggregated over the entirety of the City.

Traffic Engineer Tassano explained the traffic model does include the 400 future housing units at the mall, the mall expansion, the Workday facility, and the 10X Genomics campus. The model shows trips are not going to stay on Stoneridge Mall Road to get to Stoneridge but will divert to Springdale Avenue and then eventually to Laurel Creek Drive. He explained the model accounts for future trips and still shows an acceptable level of service.

Senior Planner Luchini confirmed the project is providing 24 secured bike lockers. The City has an ordinance that voluntarily requests employers that have more than 75 employees to prepare a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) plan that creates those incentives and works with the City to reduce commutes. He further explained the PUD is establishing the parking threshold of 3.3 spaces per 1000 square feet for the entire project.

Director Clark clarified the estimate for impact fees for Phase 1 is \$1.1 million. She anticipates another \$5 million of fees in Phase 2 and 3 across all categories including the Tri-Valley Transportation Council (TVTC) and is based on today's rates and build-out.

Traffic Engineer Tassano confirmed there is a City standard in place for pedestrian-level lighting as well as pathway lighting. There will be sufficient lighting for pedestrians and bicyclists using the path. Part of the standard conditions is to ensure that the final plant palette will be reviewed by the Landscape Architecture Division and be fully compliant with the City's water efficiency.

Serge Saxonov, CEO and Co-Founder of 10x Genomics, gave a background of the company and reasons for choosing Pleasanton.

Brian Jencek, Senior Principal for HOK San Francisco provided a brief overview of the property. Building 1 will be facing the street and will be a lively place as it expands to full build-out. He advised the logistics area is in the geometric center of the facility to make sure the edge has expansive parkland with trails, walking paths, large mature trees, and amenities.

Alan Bright, Senior Principal for HOK San Francisco, provided a brief overview of the building and the inspiration for the façade and color palette. He described renderings of the building's south entrance which is the main entry, the west side of the building, the logistics area, and view from Springdale Avenue.

Mr. Jencek advised 10X Genomics will provide EV charging stations per the building code and provide infrastructure for future EV charging stations as the project progresses. They are targeting LEED Gold-equivalent certification as the metric for the project. He explained the same chemicals are used in other 10X Genomics locations and are well below the thresholds for air permitting. He advised the chemicals used are in accordance with monitoring by the appropriate government agencies including Livermore Pleasanton Fire Department.

Michele Hodge, Senior Director 10x Genomics confirmed EV charges will be provided during Phase 1 and not just the infrastructure. She also confirmed there will be secured bike lockers outside and showers located in the building.

Mayor Brown opened the public hearing.

Steve Van Dorn, President and CEO of the Pleasanton Chamber of Commerce advised they represent close to 700 member organizations throughout the Tri-Valley. He stated they strongly support the project and the Development Agreement.

Mr. Jencek stated that they appreciated the entire process and thanked the staff for their hard work and challenging them to make this project better.

Mayor Brown closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Balch expressed support for the overall project including community benefits for the jobs, increased sales tax, increased property tax revenues, and site improvements.

Councilmember Balch moved to approve staff's recommendations, seconded by Councilmember Arkin.

Councilmember Arkin expressed support for the project's alignment with the City Council's priority of promoting the biotech industry certification.

Councilmember Narum expressed support for creating a campus with a park-like setting across from a major regional shopping mall and hopes the vitality of the mall will increase.

Councilmember Testa expressed support for the project and though the building is more contemporary than she expected for Pleasanton she has confidence it will be exceptional. She expressed her appreciation for the detail to sustainability and the landscape.

Mayor Brown expressed support for the project and its alignment with the City Council's priority for expanding the life science industry in Pleasanton. She noted that jobs that will be offered by 10X Genomics will help attract residents to Pleasanton.

MOTION: It was m/s by Balch/Arkin to adopt a resolution adopting the MND (Attachment 1A); Introduce an ordinance making the PUD findings and approving Cases PUD-139 and P20-0973, subject to the draft conditions of approval (Attachment 1B); Introduce an ordinance approving the Development Agreement (Attachment 1C). Motion passed by the following vote:

Ayes:	Councilmembers Arkin, Balch, Narum, Testa, Mayor Brown
Noes:	None
Abstain:	None
Absent:	None

21. **Pulled from consent calendar** – Adopt a resolution approving the two-year Operating Budget for FY 2021/22 and 2022/23

Councilmember Arkin requested the language in Section 2 of the resolution be modified.

Mayor Brown opened the public hearing. There being no speakers, the public hearing was closed.

Councilmember Arkin moved that City Council accept the Operating Budget with the modification in the resolution Section 2 to state "Budget up to a three percent wage increases to Management and Confidential employees in FY 2021/22 using monies included in the General Fund contingency and commence evaluation of proposed increases by City Council at a future Closed Session and Public Hearing." Councilmember Testa seconded the motion.

Councilmember Narum expressed support for City employees receiving raises especially given the performance they have had over the past year with the pandemic.

Councilmember Balch noted it is fiscally prudent to pay employees appropriately.

Mayor Brown acknowledged last year was difficult that the City was conservative on spending. She clarified individual compensation decisions take place at the City Manager and leadership positions with City Council approving the large-scale budgets.

MOTION: It was m/s by Arkin/Testa to adopt **Resolution No. 21-1227** approving the two-year Operating Budget for FY 2021/22 and 2022/23 as amended. Motion passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Arkin, Balch, Narum, Testa, Mayor Brown
Noes: None
Abstain: None
Absent: None

22. Presentation regarding Per-and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Treatment and Wells Rehabilitation Project Update on Basis of Design Report and authorization to proceed with final design

Director of Operations and Water Utilities Kathleen Yurchak introduced the item.

Utilities Planning Manager, Todd Yamello explained PFAS are currently being considered for regulation and advised the State Water Board has issued advisory levels for the three of the compounds. If concentration levels are found above the notification level the City must notify the governing board and the State recommends mitigation which usually means taking the water out of service for treatment. He reported the State is planning to regulate PFOS and PFOA by establishing maximum containment levels and noted they have a draft regulation identifying the value of those Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) in the fall of 2022 and will have a final value somewhere in early 2024. Lastly, he advised there is no indication of an MCL date for PFBS at this time.

He reported the City has three wells, Well 5, Well 6, and Well 8 that provide 20% of the City's water supply with the remaining 80% coming from Zone 7. He presented an overview of testing that has been completed since mid-2019. Well 8 is above the response level for PFOS and was taken out of service in June 2019. All wells are below the response level for PFOA.

The City Council approved a work plan for the long-term treatment of PFAS at Well 5, 6, and 8 on September 1, 2020. The work plan had two major components with the first being to proceed with a self-implemented PFAS treatment project at Wells 5, 6, and 8. This allows the City to have control and the best ability to try to implement the project and meet an MCL target coming in 2024. The secondary effort was to explore regional solutions on a parallel with Zone 7. The project goals included implementing PFAS treatment at all wells to "low-treatment" goal, rehabilitating existing well facilities to extend their useful life 30 years, and providing for treatment expansion. An agreement was approved by the City Council in September 2020 to prepare a Basis of Design Report (BODR) to define the scope of the project and serve as a basis for the final design.

Utilities Planning Manager Yamello reported Wells 5, 6, and 8 are on a very good performing aquifer and can produce water from the aquifer very energy efficiently. Wells 5 and 6 are nearing the end of their useful life. Well 8 was built in the early 1990s but is not as energy efficient as Wells 5 and 6.

He provided an overview of recommended improvements to rehabilitate all three well facilities. It is recommended to abandon the existing Well 5 facility as it is reaching the end of its lifecycle. The problem with replacement is that the site is very constrained and there is not enough room to install a new well effectively. The well is also below-grade in a vault and any new well casings must be above ground per State requirements. It is recommended to construct a new Well 9 at Amador Park to replace Well 5.

It is recommended that Well 6 be rehabilitated including replacing the casing, reusing and rehabilitating the existing building, and replacing mechanical and electrical systems. There would be temporary impacts to Alisal Elementary School access during construction and would require coordination with PUSD. The benefit of staying in this location is that it is close to the high-performing aquifer.

It is recommended that Well 8 be rehabilitated including replacing the existing casing, reusing and rehabilitating the existing building, replacing mechanical systems, and installing a new electrical building.

Mr. Yamello reported that the City reviewed two alternatives which included doing PFAS treatment at each well or trying to go to a Centralized Treatment Facility. Centralized treatment was found to be more cost-effective and more beneficial, particularly for Wells 5 and 6; building treatment was not feasible and would require going into Amador Park with a significant footprint that would have a great impact beyond price. The Centralized Treatment Facility would have lower lifecycle costs.

A Centralized Treatment Facility would be built within the fence line of the Operation Service Center right next to Well 8. After the water is treated for disinfection, PFAS, and fluoride it would enter the distributions system to all users. He presented renderings of the Centralized Treatment Facility and reported the facility would be rated for 8,100 gallons per minute which is the capacity for all three wells running simultaneously including getting the maximum capacity out of Well 8. He noted all wells are not operated simultaneously and that would only be for emergency conditions.

Mr. Yamello provided an overview of the project timeline. Project design would begin in the middle to end of this year to include field testing, detailed design, CEQA, and the design for the Well 8 and 9 casings. The project could go out to bid in early to mid-2023. The project would be built in two phases with anticipated MCLs from the State hopefully available during the design phase before heading into construction. The final MCL should be available in 2024 and should be in line with having the new Central Treatment Facility online and two of three wells available to operate. The third well is more of a redundancy for emergencies and would probably come online after the final MCL.

Total construction cost is estimated at \$34.6 million with approximately \$20 million toward PFAS improvements. The well rehabilitations range from \$4.5 million to \$5.5 million. Well 5 which will be the new Well 9 at Amador Park is more expensive because it is new. Total project costs are \$46 million.

The next steps are to finalize the BODR based on presented preliminary findings and recommendations and proceed with the final design phase which will include staff selecting a consultant and requesting authorization to execute an agreement during a future City Council meeting. City Manager Fialho advised that a draft element of the financial plan would be submitted to the subcommittee and staff would then be able to talk about funding sources and potential impacts on rates.

Mr. Yamello reported Zone 7 is proceeding with a project to treat their Chain of Lakes wells and has a similar timeline and project costs. He is unsure what the future rates will be for Zone 7. Zone 7 needs to meet those MCL levels independent of Pleasanton and the distribution systems cannot be blended.

Managing Director of Utilities and Environmental Services Daniel Repp advised that for well design often they are looking at the physical parameters of the aquifer to make decisions about sizing. A single well can pump the City's allotment but sizing should be based on the physical characteristics and the operator's ability to produce. He advised they are not looking at the allotment for well design.

City Manager Fialho reported the debt service would be in the range of \$3 to \$3.5 million at a much lower interest rate than 10 years ago. He advised the City can use a combination of grants, connection fees, and then rate increases, so the number would be lower. The City applied jointly with Zone 7 to the federal agency that disperses the grants. He advised the State Revolving Fund is allowed for water infrastructure and is 1% interest.

Director Yurchak advised they did not go into detail of determining how much it would cost to purchase water from Zone 7 with considerations in regards to infrastructure, governance, and contractual obligations. The preferred method is to pursue the Centralized Treatment Facility. Investigating additional alternatives can create an implication in the timeline to meet the MCL.

Mr. Yamello advised the PFAS levels in Well 5 and 6 have been steady. They have seen a decrease in values in Well 8 but cautioned that it is offline and could increase with regular use. PFAS contamination levels are a very sources-specific item. Residents can navigate to the City's PFAS website for additional filtration information and education.

City Attorney Sodergren advised it was 11:00 p.m. and the Council decided to proceed with the item.

Mr. Yamello explained both Granular Activated Carbon and Ion Exchange remove the PFAS from water as it travels through the vessel and the difference is in the removal technology. Both media require removal and either disposal or incineration. The space for treatment expansion identified at the Centralized Treatment Facility is sufficient for other types of treatment systems and there is some flexibility within the City's site.

Mayor Brown opened the public hearing.

Olivia Sanwong encouraged Pleasanton to continue exploring at least one of the regional alternatives if not both. She noted a full report was published in 2013 that the utility operators of the Tri-Valley consider forming a consolidated Municipal Utility District to provide all of the water and sewer utilities for the Tri-Valley and it may be something to consider.

Jill Buck expressed support for maintaining some local control of Pleasanton's water and thinks it is time for residents to understand the real business costs and encouraged City Council to move forward to ensure Pleasanton residents have equitable access to clean drinking water.

Councilmember Balch noted he supports clean, reliable, safe drinking water for residents and would like to find that for the best price. He noted that if Pleasanton continues to use the wells they will need to be rehabilitated for infrastructure improvement. He also expressed concern regarding the impact at Alisal Elementary School. He would like conversations with the community regarding the costs. He moved to support staff's recommendation for completing the project design at \$2.8 million.

Councilmember Narum seconded the motion. She noted the cost and believes it is cheaper for residents to pay for the debt service versus paying Zone 7 even at the current rates and has concern about giving up some local control of water. She expressed the need to examine financing and noted there is likely going to be other contaminants that has not been identified yet and the City may be able to leverage this system to clean those contaminants as well.

Councilmember Testa noted the money may sound overwhelming but thinks everyone recognizes the importance of having control over Pleasanton's water and is interested in seeing the other sources for financing beyond Pleasanton's ratepayers.

Councilmember Arkin acknowledged the cost but what the debt service would cost Pleasanton versus buying from Zone 7 is hard to overlook as it does not include infrastructure costs and would like a full plan for financing. She agrees with the need to repair the wells and believes it is essential for safe drinking water and agrees there will be more contaminants in the future. She also expressed concern regarding the impacts to Alisal Elementary School.

Mayor Brown confirmed supplementing with Zone 7 will also be investigated when comparing data to understand all the options and costs.

MOTION: It was m/s by Balch/Narum to authorize staff to proceed with the final design. Motion passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Arkin, Balch, Narum, Testa, Mayor Brown
Noes: None
Abstain: None
Absent: None

MATTERS INITIATED BY COUNCIL

Councilmember Arkin requested an update on the airport issue.

COUNCIL REPORTS:

Councilmember Arkin reported attendance at the Alameda County Board of Supervisor Nate Miley's Town Hall and at the City of Pleasanton-PUSD Liaison meetings.

Councilmember Narum reported attendance at ValleyLink and Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority (LAVTA) Wheels meetings.

Councilmember Testa reported attendance at Alameda County Mosquito Abatement District, East Bay Community Energy meeting, and at Alameda County Board of Supervisor Miley's Town Hall meeting.

Councilmember Balch reported attendance at a StopWaste meeting.

Mayor Brown reported attendance at the Innovation Technology meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Councilmember Balch adjourned the meeting at 11:38 p.m. with a tribute to our nation's men and women serving in the military.

Respectfully submitted,

Jocelyn Kwong
Acting City Clerk

**CITY OF PLEASANTON
CITY COUNCIL AND PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION
JOINT WORKSHOP MINUTES
June 21, 2021**

*This meeting was conducted in accordance with Governor Newsom's
Executive Order N-25-20 COVID-19 pandemic protocols.*

Mayor Brown called the teleconferenced workshop of the City Council and Parks and Recreation Commission from various remote locations to order at the hour of 7:00 p.m. Mayor Brown led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Present: Councilmembers Arkin, Balch, Narum, Testa, Mayor Brown;
Commissioners Berberich, Brown, Deckert, Fields, Hekl, Immadi, Vickers
Absent: None

REVIEW AND DISCUSS CENTURY HOUSE MASTER PLAN UPDATES

Assistant City Manager Brian Dolan and Planning and Permit Center Manager/Deputy Director of Community Development Melinda Denis gave a presentation and overview of the Century House Master Plan.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following members of the public spoke regarding the Century House and Bicentennial Park: Yang Zhang, Jean Hazell, Tianyi Lu, Sajal Raikar, Tatiana Salvator, Dave Salvator, Justin Lakhani, Lizzie Lakhani, Bruce Bird, John Hazell, Mahmoud Hassanpour, Shankar Subramanian, Saket Kharsikar, Joan Johnson, Linda Garbarino, Nolan Wheeler, Duane Bronnenberg, Marsha Bronnenberg, Casey Wheeler, Donald Fike, Brad Botelho.

The Parks and Recreation Commissioners and City Councilmembers provided direction to staff.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Mayor Brown adjourned the meeting at 10:17 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jocelyn Kwong
Acting City Clerk