

## Human Services Commission Evaluation Criteria for Review of Housing and Human Services Grant Program (HHSG) Applications for FY 2021/2022

#### A) NEED

- 1. There is a need for this activity/service.
- 2. The need has been clearly identified.
- 3. The data/information supplied by the agency shows how this activity/service will address the need.
- 4. The activity/service prevents or alleviates an identified problem.

## B) BENEFIT

- 1. The applicant demonstrates clearly the number of Pleasanton residents who will benefit from the activity/service in relation to the level of funding requested from the City of Pleasanton.
- 2. The beneficiaries are an appropriate target group (lower income residents, handicapped, elderly, youth, etc.)
- 3. The funding of this activity/service benefits low and very low income (rather than moderate income) residents. Greater consideration will be given to agencies which serve individuals from the lowest income categories.
- 4. The activity/service benefits deteriorated neighborhoods.

## C) ORGANIZATION

- 1. The agency has an established track record of good performance.
- 2. The agency is accountable for its programs.
- 3. The agency's goals for this activity/service are realistic and achievable.
- 4. The agency's overall guiding philosophy is consistent with this activity/service.
- 5. The agency collaborates with other non-profits and has a broad base of community support.
- 6. The agency's staffing is qualified and adequate to provide this activity/service in a timely manner.
- 7. The application is complete (in accordance with the application checklist provided).

## D) FUNDING

- 1. The request will provide lasting improvements and/or effects.
- 2. The request will maintain an existing activity/service that is in jeopardy.
- 3. The request will not supplant other funding already available but will enhance or initiate an activity/service.
- 4. The requested funds achieve an impact on the need.
- 5. The requested amount is commensurate with the expected accomplishment(s).
- 6. The cost per beneficiary is reasonable.
- 7. The activity/service is cost-effective.
- 8. The effectiveness of the activity/service can be measured using well-defined performance measures that are clearly stated in the application.

## **E) ALTERNATIVE FUNDING SOURCES**

- 1. The agency has been successful in obtaining supplemental on-going funding from other sources for its activities/services.
- 2. When appropriate, the agency charges a fee and/or produces other income that may be used to support this activity/service.
- 3. The agency contributes to this activity/service.
- 4. There is evidence that the agency is receiving private and/or community financial support.

## F) NECESSITY OF CITY FUNDING

- 1. City funds are critical to carrying out this activity/service.
- 2. This activity/service is appropriate for City funding.
- 3. The activity/service reduces demands on other City resources.
- 4. There are no alternative funding sources.
- 5. There are no alternatives available that are less expensive.

# G) CONSISTENCY WITH CITY HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

- 1. HUD [Alameda County HOME Consortium] Consolidated Plan
- 2. General Plan Housing Element
- 3. Tri-Valley Human Services Needs Assessment (2011) and Strategic Plan (2014-16)

## H) HUMAN SERVICES COMMISSION PRIORITIES

- 1. The project prioritizes the "core services" identified in the adopted "2011 Human Services Needs Assessment" (dental care, primary health care, mental health, food/nutrition, housing activities).
- 2. The project takes into consideration transportation (e.g., incorporates or anticipates how clients will access the proposed programs or activities).
- 3. The project is innovative and demonstrates service coordination and collaboration.
- 4. The agency has registered with Alameda County 2-1-1 and Ptownlife.org.