THE CITY OF PLEASANTON.

**Planning Commission Staff Report**

February 10, 2016
Item 8.b.

**SUBJECT:  Actions of the City Council**

---

*January 19, 2016*

**Second reading and adoption of Ordinance No. 2135** approving a Planned Unit Development (PUD) Rezoning and Development Plan for Fireside Investors, LLC, located at 337 St. Mary Street, as filed under Case PUD-107

Council waived the second reading and adopted the Ordinance.

**PUD-109, H. James Knuppe**
Applications at 273 Spring Street for: (1) a certificate of appropriateness to demolish the existing 910-square-foot single-story commercial building; and (2) a Planned Unit Development (PUD) Rezoning and Development Plan approval to rezone the site from the C-C (Central Commercial), Downtown Revitalization, Core Area Overlay District to PUD-C-C (Planned Unit Development – Central Commercial), Downtown Revitalization, Core Area Overlay District; and to construct an approximately 4,074-square-foot, two-story commercial/office building with an attached approximately 1,225-square-foot second-floor apartment unit, and four, approximately 2,015-square-foot, three-story multi-family residential units.

This item was continued to the February 2, 2016 meeting.

*February 2, 2016*

**PUD-109, H. James Knuppe**
Applications at 273 Spring Street for: (1) a certificate of appropriateness to demolish the existing 910-square-foot single-story commercial building; and (2) a Planned Unit Development (PUD) Rezoning and Development Plan approval to rezone the site from the C-C (Central Commercial), Downtown Revitalization, Core Area Overlay District to PUD-C-C (Planned Unit Development – Central Commercial), Downtown Revitalization, Core Area Overlay District; and to construct an approximately 4,074-square-foot, two-story commercial/office building with an attached approximately 1,225-square-foot second-floor apartment unit, and four, approximately 2,015-square-foot, three-story multi-family residential units.

Action recommended:  Approval
Action taken:  Council conducted the public hearing and then continued the item and directed the applicant to redesign the project based on the comments provided.
Vote:  5-0

---